IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP NO.18502 of 2006

DATE OF DECISION: December 4, 2006

Anu Bala

….Petitioner

VERSUS

Punjab Technical University, Jalandhar and others

….

Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. BHALLA

PRESENT: Shri S.K.Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Viney Mittal,J.(Oral).

Concededly, the petitioner had not participated in the 1st counselling. There is absolutely no reason spelt out by the petitioner as to why she had not chosen to participate in the Ist counselling.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has not been able to point out any rule/regulation which permits her to participate in any subsequent counselling even having remained absent in the first counselling.

Dismissed.

(Viney Mittal)
Judge

December 4, 2006
KD

(H.S. Bhalla)
Judge